### Faustmann main contributions

Land <u>and</u> forest expectation value The forest value is the sum of expected revenues... ...considered in net terms (revenues minus all costs) ...discounted in order to be comparable. Link between land value and optimal rotation Forest value depends on expected net revenues The latter depends on forest management Thus forest value depends on forest management The best management maximizes the forest value

#### Faustmann assumptions

An infinite series of like rotations is Image: A state of the state useful for practical applications politically interesting for the compatibility between Iforest economics Sustained yield in the long term and perhaps sustainable forest management But the theory is much more general The forest value is the sum of all future net discounted revenues The best management maximizes the forest value

#### Samuelson (1974 and 1976)

"Economics of forestry in an evolving society" Samuelson agrees with Faustmann What about evolution? Only a few words in the article "life is not a steady state" "incessant change is the law of life" "It is no paradox that steady state analysis is useful in the understanding of realistic trend analysis"

#### Objectives and method

Analysis of change through Faustmann formula
 Practical comments more than theoretical ones
 Faustmann formula

 $B = \frac{E + rD - C.(1,0p)^{u}}{(1,0p)^{u} - 1} - \frac{A}{0,0p}$ If A is neglected or considered within rD the formula can be written in a different way  $B = -C + E + rD + B / (1,0p)^{u}$ 

# Objectives and method $B = -C + [E + rD + B] (1,0p)^{u}$

B: Land value (Bodenwerth)
C: Reforestation costs (Culturkosten)
E: final harvest(Ertrag)
rD: capitalized intermediate net revenues (Durchforstungserträge)
p: discount rate (Prozent)
u: age at maturity (Umtriebzeit)

## Case study

### $B = -C + E + rD + B / (1,0p)^{u}$

Example of a poplar plantation 50 Stumpage price (€/m3) 156 stems/ha 40 30 20 C = 2500 €/ha = 16 €/stem 10 □ stumpage price→ 0.0 1.0 Volume /stem (m3/tree) □volume per stem→ 2,0 (m3/stem) 1,5 □p=5% 1,0 Volume ( 0,5 rotation age u = 21 yrs 0.0 10 20 30 40 □ land value B = 9 €/stem Age (years)

2.0

Contents: reality, expectancy and consequences of the variation of  $1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5$  $B = -C + [F + rD + B] (1,0p)^{u}$ 

B: Land value (Bodenwerth)
C: Reforestation costs (Culturkosten)
E: final harvest(Ertrag)
rD: capitalized intermediate net revenues (Durchforstungserträge)
p: discount rate (Prozent)
u: age at maturity (Umtriebzeit)

#### **Reforestation costs**

### $B = -C + E + rD + B / (1,0p)^{u}$

Past variation per year during 1950-2000
 Increase of total labor costs = +3.5%
 Increase of labor productivity= +1.7%
 Increase of reforestation costs = +1.8%
 Future expectations
 Increase of reforestation costs

#### **Reforestation** costs

### $B = -C + E + rD + B (1,0p)^{u}$

Long-term consequences (future stands)

 increase of rotation age
 decrease of land value

 Short-term consequences (existing stands)

 increase of rotation age
 decrease of forest value

#### **Reforestation costs**

 $B = -C + E + rD + B/(1,0p)^{u}$ Results for C x 2 in the long-term □rotation age (yrs) u = 21 → 24 □ land value (€/stem) B = 9 → -15 Results for C x 2 in the short-term  $\Box$  rotation age (yrs) u = 21  $\rightarrow$  24 □ land value (€/stem) B = 9 → +1

#### **Final harvest**

# $B = -C + E + rD + B (1,0p)^{u}$

Past variations prices more or less stable in the long run increase of ecosystem productivity Future expectations prices should increase with energy/carbon productivity should increase and decrease □ final revenues could increase if climate change is mitigated

# Final harvest, stumpage price 2 $B = -C + [E + rD + B] (1,0p)^{u}$



#### **Final harvest**

# $B = -C + E + rD + B (1,0p)^{u}$

Long and short-term consequences
 decrease of rotation age
 increase of land value

#### **Final harvest**

 $B = -C + [r + rD + B](1,0p)^{u}$  ■ Results for E × 2 in the long-term ■ rotation age (yrs) u = 21 → 20 ■ land value (€/stem) B = 9 → +44

#### Environmental values

 $B = -C + [E + rD + B] (1,0p)^{u}$ 

Past variations
 less and less resources per inhabitant
 growing importance of environmental values
 Future expectations
 growing importance

#### Environmental values

 $B = -C + [rD + B] (1,0p)^{u}$ 

Long and short-term consequences

 increase of rotation age
 increase of land or forest value

 Special cases making the rotation tend to infinity (Strang, 1986)

#### Environmental values, infinite rotation age

#### INTEGRATED LAND EXPECTATION VALUE



Environmental values

# $B = -C + E + rD + B / (1,0p)^{u}$

Results for rD increasing linearly with the age (0.15 €/yr/stem)
 Irotation age (yrs) u =21 → 27
 Iand value (€/stem) B = 9 → +39

#### Future land value

# $B = -C + E + rD + B (1,0p)^{u}$

Past variations

- changes with future expectations (see short term cases previously)
- possible influence of the actual land market (and of population density)
- Future expectations
  - likely increase in link with previous assumptions

#### Future land value

 $B = -C + [E + rD + B] (1,0p)^{u}$ 

Long-term consequences
 diminution of the discount rate but only if it is revealed by the land market value
 increase of rotation age
 Short-term consequences
 decrease of rotation age
 increase of forest value

#### Future land value

 $\mathbf{\Delta}$ 

# $B = -C + E + rD + B / (1,0p)^{u}$

Results when the land value doubles during the rotation

□ rotation age (yrs)  $u = 21 \rightarrow 20$ □ land value (€/stem)  $B = 9 \rightarrow +12$ 

#### Discount rate

5

 $B = -C + E + rD + B (1,0p)^{u}$ 

The choice is a problem private vs social even or diminishing along time with or without risk Future expectations diminishing rate along time add risk to optimize the rotation age

#### Discount rate

5

 $B = -C + [E + rD + B] (1,0p)^{u}$ 

Long-term consequences of risk
decrease of rotation age when no salvage
But no change for a complete salvage
Short-term consequences of a decrease
higher harvest value
higher future land value

#### Risk, salvage and rotation age



Land expectation value (€/ha)

## Discount rate

5

## $B = -C + E + rD + B (1,0p)^{u}$

Result for a discount rate of 4% instead of 5%
□ rotation age (yrs) u =21 → 22
□ land value (€/stem) B = 9 → +19
□ Result for a discount rate of 6% instead of 5%
□ rotation age (yrs) u =21 → 21
□ land value (€/stem) B = 9 → +3

## Synthesis

Scénario	Land exp. value	Rotation age
reference	9	21
C x 2	-15	24
Ex2	44	20
rD	39	27
6%	3	21
C x2 and E x 2	18	21
Previous + rD	46	23
Previous +6%	28	22

#### Conclusions

- Large influence of hypotheses for the future on profitability; possible negative values of forest land
- Extreme climate changes could require a fast conversion of forests to fight against dieback
- A strong interest for a local increase of environmental services (preservation areas) may require to postpone significantly the final harvest, sometimes until infinity
- In other cases, moderate effect of hypotheses on the rotation age
- □ FAUSTMANN FORMULA IS USEFUL IN ORDER TO ANALYZE FUTURE CHANGES IN FORESTRY.

## Thank you for your attention!

#### **Before Faustmann**

Optimization of the rotation period

 e.g. Réaumur (1721) : maximum sustained yield
 e.g. Varenne de Fenille (1791) : use of interest rate

 Calculation of the forest value

 e.g. König (1813) : land expectation value

#### Questionable criticisms of the theory

Difference between practices and theory conservative practices perhaps high discount rates Simplicity of maximum sustained yield □ the "lowest" rate towards conservative practices Mixing-up with internal discount rate the "highest" rate the shortest rotation Mixing-up too with the normal forest